

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/697,406	10/29/2003	Russell W. Guenthner	52003218	7204		
	7590 06/07/2007 nation Systems Inc.	EXAM	EXAMINER			
13430 North Black Canyon Highway Phoenix, AZ 85029-1310			SIEK, V	SIEK, VUTHE		
Phoenix, AZ 85	029-1310		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2825			
		· ·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/07/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•					TH	
Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/697,4	06	GUENTHNER ET	GUENTHNER ET AL.	
		Examine	r ·	Art Unit		
		Vuthe Sie	· · ·	2825	·	
The MAILING DATE of a	his communication	n appears on th	e cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	idress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FI - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extende Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37	ROM THE MAILIN der the provisions of 37 Cf date of this communication, the maximum statutory per dependent for reply will, by an three months after the	G DATE OF TI FR 1.136(a). In no even. period will apply and v statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNI vent, however, may a vill expire SIX (6) MO plication to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this companies to the companies of the compan	,	
Status						
1) Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on g	03 April 2007				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) <u></u>	This action is r	non-final.		·	
3) Since this application is	in condition for all	owance except	for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the	e merits is	
closed in accordance wi	th the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Qi</i>	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pend						
4a) Of the above claim(s		ndrawn from co	nsideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are al						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are reject						
7) Claim(s) is/are of	-	ad/on olootion .				
8) Claim(s) are subj	ect to restriction a	na/or election r	equirement.		·	
Application Papers					•	
9) The specification is object	cted to by the Exa	miner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a)[accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request	that any objection to	the drawing(s)	oe held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing shee	et(s) including the co	orrection is requir	ed if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration i	s objected to by th	e Examiner. N	ote the attache	d Office Action or form PT	ΓO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				•	
12) Acknowledgment is mad a) All b) Some * c) □		eign priority un	der 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of		nents have bee	en received.			
2. Certified copies of	f the priority docum	ments have bee	en received in A	Application No		
3. ☐ Copies of the cert	ified copies of the	priority docum	ents have beer	received in this National	Stage	
application from the	he International Bu	ıreau (PCT Ru	e 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a	a list of the cert	ified copies not	received.	•	
,						
Attachment(s)				,		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)	92)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draft	wing Review (PTO-948	3)	Paper No((s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(PTO/SB/08)		6) Other:	Informal Patent Application		
			-	•		

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to application 10/697,406 and response filed on 4/3/2007. Claims 1-6 remain pending in the application.

Response to Amendment

1. The affidavit filed on 10/2/2006 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been received on 4/3/07 and is sufficient to overcome the Beraudo et al. reference dated June 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Togawa et al., "A Performance-Oriented Circuit Partitioning Algorithm with Logic-Block Replication for Multi-FPGA Systems," IEEE, Nov. 1996, pages 294-297.
- 4. As to claim 1, Togawa et al. teach substantially the same claimed invention of a method for optimizing timing performance of an overall logic circuit implemented in a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) with at least one programmable interconnect routed from a source to a specific load affected negligibly by fanout to other loads connected to the same source (pages 294-297) comprising the steps of a) synthesizing the overall logic for a first implementation in the FPGA, the synthesis including

Application/Control Number: 10/697,406

Art Unit: 2825

construction and a first placement of one or more logic functions on the FPGA (at least see Fig. 2a; b) analyzing the timing paths of the first implementation with the first placement (at least see Fig. 2a); c) determining one or more critical timing paths from analysis of the first implementation (at least see Fig. 2a); d) selecting as an object for improvement a specific critical timing path from the critical timing paths (object b); e) implementing in a new way the critical logic in the selected specific critical timing path with the implementation of the critical logic performed with relative disregard as the fanout of signals to other loads in the overall logic circuit and with the placement of logic functions in the selected specific critical path designed primarily to minimize the interconnected routing distance of the signals contributing to that selected specific critical path, such implementation being operatively substituted for the first placement and being a selection of new logic elements to implement the selected specific critical path, with said selection of new logic elements being a duplication (replication) of logic elements utilizing in the first placement but forming a second placement of the logic functions on the FPGA, those new logic elements of the second placement placed in a more optimal placement than the first placement for minimizing the interconnected routing distance of the selected specific critical path (at least see Fig. 2b) (see detailed pages 294-297). Fig. 2a-b show the claim invention, where i3 is a common input source to different output loads. Critical path delays are detected. Fig. 2b shows the result, where a circuit element b is selected for duplication in order to minimize critical path delay to meet delay constraint. The process is repeated for all critical path delays within

Application/Control Number: 10/697,406

Art Unit: 2825

the IC design. Therefore, the claimed limitations are anticipated by the article to Togawa et al..

- 5. As to claim 2, Togawa et al. teach the implementation of the critical logic in said new way in step e) is limited only to changes in the placement of the logic elements in the selected specific critical path (at least see Fig. 2a-b, pages 294-297)).
- 6. As to claim 3, Togawa et al. teach f) modifying the second placement of logic functions in the overall logic circuit to accommodate the changes in placement of the selected specific critical path while maintaining approximately the new placement of the critical logic; g) repeating steps b) through e) of claim 1, where the last implementation and placement of the overall logic circuit from step e) becomes the basis for starting again with the last implementation becoming the basis implementation (at least see Fig. 2a-b, pages 294-297). Note that in order to achieve an optimized overall timing performance of a logic circuit, repeating process must be done for all critical paths in the logic circuit.
- 7. As to claim 4, Togawa et al. teach the implementation of the critical logic in said new way in step e) is limited only to changes in the placement of the logic elements in the selected specific critical path (Fig. 2a-b; pages 294-297).
- 8. As to claim 5, remarks set forth in rejection of claim 1 equally applied because of substantially same claimed limitations.
- 9. As to claim 6, Togawa et al. teach repeating steps c) through f) where the placement resultant from step f) becomes the timing path used in step c). Note that in

Application/Control Number: 10/697,406 Page 5

Art Unit: 2825

order to achieve an optimized overall timing performance of a logic circuit, repeating process must be done for all critical paths in the logic circuit.

Remarks

- Applicants' arguments have been considered, but they are not persuasive. 10. Mainly, applicants argued that Togawa described a multi FPGA chips and not work on a single FPGA. Examiner disagrees. Togawa teaches an algorithm that resolves all path delay constraints by means of effective logic-block replication in the detected constrained paths (see page 279; Fig. 2). Although, Togawa described that the algorithm applied on a multiple FPGA chips, it would also apply on a single FPGA chip. The replication of logic blocks on the detected delay constraints, where the detected delay constraints can be on the same chip or on a multiple chips. In addition, the partitioned logic blocks can be on the same chip or on a multiple chips. Resolving all delay paths violated delay constraints can be applied on a single FPGA chip or on a multiple FPGA chips by means of replication of logic blocks on the detected delay path constraints. This can be shown clearly in Fig. 2. The replication of logic blocks resolved all delay paths violated delay constraints whether on a single FPGA or on a multiple FPGA chips because the replication of logic blocks would minimized interconnections as shown in Fig. 2. Therefore, the claimed invention is anticipated by the teachings of Togawa.
- 11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/697,406

Art Unit: 2825

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,406

Art Unit: 2825

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vuthe Siek whose telephone number is (571) 272-1906.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on (571) 272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nuthe Siek/ Primary Examiner, AU: 2825